## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05CV10216-JLT

CARLOS PINEDA, ET AL.
Plaintiffs

v.

DANIEL KEELER, ET AL. Defendants

## MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendants Sergeant Detective Daniel Keeler ("Sgt. Det. Keeler") and Sergeant Detective Dennis Harris ("Sgt. Harris") hereby move for summary judgment because, as a matter of law, discovery produced no facts to support actionable claims against them.

As grounds for said motion, Defendants contend that the summary judgment record establishes the following: (1) they did not conduct, order, or otherwise participate in Plaintiff Carlos Pineda's arrest; (2) in any event, ample probable cause supported Pineda's arrest; (3) they are entitled to qualified immunity on Plaintiffs' claims; (4) no unreasonable search occurred because Plaintiff Alexandra Perez gave consent to search their apartment; (5) the warrantless entry of Plaintiffs' apartment was also permissible under the "hot pursuit" and exigent circumstances doctrines; (6) the protective sweep was constitutionally proper

given the flight and search of armed murder suspects; and (8)
Plaintiffs' claims under the Massachusetts Civil Rights Act fail
as a matter of law.

In further support of said motion, Defendants submit the attached memorandum of law and other supporting materials.

## DEFENDANTS REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT FOR THIS MOTION

Respectfully submitted,

DEFENDANTS, DANIEL KEELER and DENNIS HARRIS

William F. Sinnott Corporation Counsel

By their attorneys:

/s/ Helen G. Litsas
Helen G. Litsas, BBO# 644848
Special Assistant Corporation
Counsel
Law Office Of Helen G. Litsas
38 Main Street
Saugus, MA 01906
(781) 231-8090

Thomas Donohue, BBO# 643483 Assistant Corporation Counsel City of Boston Law Department City Hall, Room 615 Boston, MA 02201 (617) 635-4039

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed through the ECF system and served on all parties on on June 18, 2007.

/s/ Thomas Donohue
Thomas Donohue